A) Call to Order: Terri Tippit, WNC Chair, 7:06 PM

Attendance:
Kate Kennedy (Seat 1-alt)
Lisa Morocco (Seat 2)
Jeff Hronek (Seat 3)
Brendan Kotler (Seat 5)
Barbara Broide (Seat 7)
Terri Tippit (Seat 8)
Sean McMillan (Seat 9)
Mary Kusnic (Seat 11)
Colleen Mason-Heller (Seat 12)
Jane Wishon (Seat 13)
Ira Newlander (Seat 14-alt)
Eric Shabsis (Seat 15)
Wendy Dox (Seat 16)
Aaron Rosenfield (Seat 17)

Seated After Start:
Stacey Antler (Seat 10)

Not In Attendance:
Vacant (Seat 4)
Elnie Vannatim (Seat 6)

B) Approval of the minutes:

Motion by Ms. Morocco to approve the March 8, 2018 minutes with a single correction mentioned by Ms. Mason-Heller pertaining to the correct bill number for SB 827.
Second: Mr. Shabsis
Votes in Favor: 2,5,7,8,9,11,12,15
Abstentions: 1,3,13,14,16,17
Not in attendance: 4,6,10
8-0-6
Passed

C) Selection to fill vacant Seat 4:

Motion by Ms. Mason-Heller to select Kim Christensen to fill the Seat 4 vacancy.
Second: Ms. Broide
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,5,7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 4,6,10
14-0-0
Passed

Ms. Antler is seated.

D) LAPD Report, Officer Brian Espin:

Officer Espin – The Pick Pico related requests have been submitted and received. A yes will not be given until the next deployment meeting, which is coming up in the next couple weeks. This is for the animals to participate in the event.

Ms. Morocco – We need to set up the logistics, so please let me know as soon as you know.

Officer Espin – We have had a few burglaries. Burglaries in this area are down 20%, but there still are burglaries. There is a crew of people, 2-3 people ride in a car (the most recent has been a white Mercedes). They drive around and case the neighborhood and then they start door knocking. If nobody is home, they go around the back and force themselves in. A lot of people cover up with a hoodie, so they cannot be identified on cameras. But then the police can connect the dots of where people are coming from and sometimes get other footage from neighbors down the block. Be neighborly. Be vigilant and visible. There has been an increase in bicycle thefts. Unlocked garages is where a lot of these have been. Make sure your garage is secure. Three bikes were stolen out of a garage according to a report I saw right before I came to this meeting. Sliders – These are guys in Simi Valley. They pull into the gas station and then low-crawl into the car and take whatever they can while gas is being pumped.

Ms. Mason-Heller – On Monday at 1:30 PM on Patricia and Cheviot, people saw someone in the back seat hanging out of a car. The EMT was called. The person had seven empty bottles of wine. The car had no plates. People were quite concerned for his welfare and wondering what happened?

Officer Espin – I do not know; it is Officer Baker’s area. To keep your home safe, instant notification alarms like Nest are the best. Alarms going off is normally not a high priority for LAPD. Fifty percent of alarm calls are false alarms. Instant notification is different where there is actively someone in the house; that gets bumped up in priority.

Ms. Tippit – Do we know the status of Rick coming back?

Officer Espin – I assume he will be back before August.

E) Ms. Morocco – Monthly Treasurer’s report including WNC’s Funding Program account, review and approval of Purchase Card’s monthly statement—MER:

The March 2018 MER is as follows:
### Monthly Expenditure Report

**Reporting Month:** March 2018  
**Budget Fiscal Year:** 2017-2018  
**NC Name:** Westside Neighborhood Council

#### Monthly Cash Reconciliation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Total Spent</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Commitments</th>
<th>Net Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$37193.40</td>
<td>$591.03</td>
<td>$36602.37</td>
<td>$19500.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$17102.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Monthly Cash Flow Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Category</th>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Total Spent this Month</th>
<th>Unspent Budget Balance</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Net Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$4902.25</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>$4902.25</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>$4902.25</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Improvement Project</td>
<td>$4902.25</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Purpose Grants</td>
<td>$4902.25</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$100.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funding Requests Under Review:** $0.00  
**Encumbrances:** $0.00  
**Previous Expenditures:** $4806.60

#### Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget Category</th>
<th>Sub-category</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>THE CAWLERY COMPANY</td>
<td>03/02/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$52.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CTS FRONTIER ONLNEPAY</td>
<td>03/05/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$47.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>THAT PIZZA PEATSTREET</td>
<td>03/22/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>$190.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>INT IN THE MAILROOM</td>
<td>03/30/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal:** $591.03

#### Outstanding Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget Category</th>
<th>Sub-category</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Friends of West Los Angeles</td>
<td>03/30/2018</td>
<td>Funds will be given to Friends of West LA, a 501c3...</td>
<td>Neighborhood Purpose Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal:** Outstanding $19500.00

---

Motion by Ms. Morocco to approve the Purchase Card’s monthly statement MER for March 2018.
Second: Ms. Kusnic
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16,17
Abstention: 14
Not in attendance: 6
15-0-0
Passed
Motion by Ms. Antler for approval of an NPG for $2,438.93 Riddick Repair/Beautification Project for a new sprinkler irrigation system. Once installed youth groups will landscape corner.
Second: Mr. Shabsis
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6
16-0-0
Passed

Motion by Ms. Broide for approval of an NPG for $1,200.00 Rancho Park/Palms Library for adult and children books and performers for the summer program.
Second: Ms. Wishon
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6
16-0-0
Passed

Motion by Mr. Rosenfield for approval of an NPG for $ 2,500.00 for two Westwood Charter School Reading Benches to be installed on the playground as part of their program to teach children to work hard, act responsibly, practice compassion and be safe. The benches will include WNC recognition of the donation.
Second: Ms. Antler
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6
16-0-0
Passed

Mr. Shabsis and Mr. Hronek recuse themselves and leave the room.

Motion by Ms. Dox for approval of an NPG for $2,089 for Overland School for: (1) a filtered water fountain and (1) a set of speakers for the auditorium. The water fountain was removed several years ago but never replaced.
Second: Ms. Mason-Heller
Votes in Favor: 1,2,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,16,17
Not in attendance: 3,6,15
14-0-0
Passed

Mr. Shabsis and Mr. Hronek are reseated.

F) Homeless Committee – Sean McMillan, Chair and Jesse Creed, Executive Director for Vets Advocacy:

Mr. McMillan – We have been learning for the last couple years the scope of the homeless situation in Los Angeles, and I am sure you are aware of the sheer enormity of the problems. There are different groups of people who need different resources. You have people who are service resistant, the drug users/dealers, the campers, etc. Measure H has Service Planning Areas (SPAs), which are County resources covering our area. They have a program with a lot of money working through the St. Joseph Center’s Homeless Program, who is working with LAHSA and they are going to work on these different sub-groups. We have determined that you have to go out individually to camps of people to assess people’s needs. This is hard and dangerous work, but where a difference can be made. When you see certain
things, they have to be mentioned and recorded. We are going to have a hot spot homeless website, so that we can better link resources to needs. I am trying to identify areas where we can get resources to. This a chronic problem and we are going to have a protocol going forward that I have shared with the Board. You have to be a citizen and work with the system to identify resources that can help. Veterans are a different animal altogether because of PTSD and how they were trained in the service. One of the stakeholders here, Jesse Creed, has championed Veterans issues at the VA. There is now a Masterplan and a lot of money to deal with Veterans issues.

*The following was presented by Mr. McMillan:*
St. Joseph Center - E6 Multidisciplinary Teams

Focus areas: one team assigned to each quadrant, underserved areas, areas of high need, and supplementing existing outreach teams

Teams Composition:
- Peer Specialists
- Case Managers
- Mental Health Specialists
- Substance Use Counselor
- Registered Nurse and Physician Assistant
- Generalists

Outreach Frequency: Dependent upon the centralized portal requests and proactive outreach

St. Joseph Center - E6 Multidisciplinary Teams

Services Offered:
- Linkages to permanent housing
- Mental health and support groups
- Substance use treatment
- Emergency shelter and bridge housing linkages
- Medical Services
- Transportation Assistance
- Documentation assistance (ID’s, birth certificate)
- Increase income (application to benefits, employment assistance)

Focus areas: SPA wide referrals

Teams Composition:
- 1 Peer support
- 2 social workers
- 1 housing navigator
- 1 supervisor

Outreach Frequency: Referral based

DMH - SB82

Services Offered:
- Linkage to permanent housing
- Mental health and support groups
- Substance use linkage
- Emergency shelter and bridge housing linkages
- Linkage to medical services
- Documentation assistance (ID’s, birth certificate)
- Increase income (application to benefits, employment assistance)
LAHSA Homeless Engagement Team  
(formerly ERT)

*Focus areas*: one team assigned to each quadrant

**Teams Composition:**
- 2 outreach workers per team

**Outreach Frequency:** 3 Times Weekly or more (Monday-Thursday), and clean up assistance

---

LAHSA Homeless Engagement Team  
(formerly ERT)

**Services Offered:**
- Linkage to mental health
- Linkage substance use treatment
- Linkage to medical care
- Transportation assistance
- Documentation assistance (ID’s, birth certificate)
- Linkage to housing teams
Whole Person Care

Focus area: referral based resource

Teams Composition:
- 14 Community Health Workers
- 2 LCSW’s
- 1 Administrative Assistant
- 1 Associate Director to Regional Collaboration

Outreach Frequency: referral based

Whole Person Care

• Services Offered:
  • Linkage to primary care physician (resource to come)
  • Mama’s Neighborhood
  • Reentry assistance
  • Substance use treatment
  • Linkages to mental health
  • Linkages to housing
Jesse Creed – I live in the West of Westwood HOA area. Its great being here. The VA land goes back to 1888, which was deeded to be homes for soldiers. We filed suit in 2011, as no Vets were living on the campus. Those efforts have translated into where we are today. I am Executive Director of Vets Advocacy. There are a lot of advocates behind this. The VA now has a strategy and a plan. The community is very supportive to get Vets off the street and to find solutions, regardless of what those solutions are. There will be 1200 units over a 10 year period on the VA campus. I will pass around the plan for you to look at. April 26 there is a public hearing for the north side of the campus development. I am doing outreach to the community to build overall support for the project.

Mr. McMillan – In a small sense we know we can house 1200 veterans and one day hopefully more. Having one developer will help a more efficient and cohesive plan.

*The following was presented by Mr. Creed:*
Ms. Broide – We need to move on this because the politics at the VA are atrocious.

Mr. Shabsis – Some of the buildings are looking very shabby. What will be done with them?

Mr. Creed – The buildings are very costly to fix, so they will be fully replaced. The church will be upgraded and they are trying to raise $50 million. The person spearheading the fundraising is one of the descendants of the original family associated with the land grant. The VA in West LA gets the same amount of funding to manage 388 acres as the one in Chicago that sits on a city block.

*The following was presented by Mr. McMillan:*

Motion by Mr. McMillan: The WNC shall write a letter in support of the VA’s proposal to appoint a principal developer to implement the Draft Master Plan and build at least 1200 units of permanent supportive housing at the West LA VA campus.
Second: Ms. Kusnic  
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17  
Not in attendance: 6  
16-0-0
G) Hillcrest Beverly Oil Site Update, hearing scheduled for Thursday April 26th, 9am WLA Municipal Bldg 1645 Corinth:

Andy Shrader, Council District 5 Director of Environmental Affairs, Water Policy & Sustainability – A year and a couple months ago, it came to our attention the oil drilling site in Rancho Park was out of compliance with some permitting. Several departments examined the site and found equipment that was not permitted. They put the oil company through the process in which they could get the permits they needed. The company has been working well with the City. They have a gas burner that is going through a plan approval on April 26 at a public meeting.

Ms. Mason-Heller – One of the problems is the City had not done the required inspections for decades. Is there something that has changed and does the Petroleum Administrator have the teeth to do what is needed going forward?

Mr. Shrader – Until 2013, nobody had looked into the relationship with oil for decades. The City did not have anyone to work on these issues and so a Council motion was put forward to hire a Petroleum Administrator. He has been going through all the different drill sites throughout the City. There are a lot of sites and only three staff. The situation is improving. Councilman Koretz introduced a motion to look at all the sites in CD5 first. Another motion is going to require setbacks as oil drilling is not often compatible proximate to other functions.

Ms. Mason-Heller – How often are they supposed to be inspected?

Mr. Shrader – The Petroleum Administrator is trying to get the whole system fixed. The Planning Department does the actual inspections.

Mr. Shabsis – What’s the relationship between the Petroleum Administrator and DOGGR (Department of Conservation and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources). Who has the authority?

Mr. Shrader – DOGGR has fallen down on the job. Its been a rubber stamping agency that records problems but does nothing about them.

Scott Silver, Wigton Rd, stakeholder, scttslvr@gmail.com – If that setback rule is passed what does that mean for the Rancho Park drill site?

Mr. Shrader – That is part of the conversation. Who would this apply to? New leases or old, and what situations?

Ms. Tippit – Is the Councilman supporting some kind of action on this site?

Mr. Shrader – The company has been responsive. The Councilman’s position is we have rules in place and if companies are going to abide by rules, there is no reason to go after them.

Ms. Wishon – Were there fines for not having permits or were there other penalties?

Ted Cordova, energy company representative – The piece of equipment in question was an emissions control device. We did go through AQMD to get it permitted, but we did not have it in use, took it down,
and there were gas related questions about it. We invited people onto site to look at it and we did not have any issues. The Planning Department, LAFD-CUPA (Certified Unified Program Agency), Building and Safety, and Recreation and Parks were all on the site, as well as the Petroleum Administrator. We want to have that piece of equipment on site for safety purposes. It was permitted through AQMD, but it was not initially permitted through the City of LA.

Ms. Wishon – Were there penalties for not being permitted?

Mr. Cordova – We had to go back through the process and get it permitted.

Mr. Silver – I live in Rancho Park. I did not know about this site until the mercaptan odor additive incident. I was affected by the spill physically and ran down to Paul’s office. What I found out following the aftermath was that some people have pitchfork approach. My approach to things is more businesslike. This lease says they have to comply with the law. I doubt they will lose the lease for being out of compliance. This lease is up in nine years. And we should study what the best use is of this land should be. Paul and Andy are out there working with the oil company, but they need our support. We need to come out and show we care. We need to have these conversations to decide what is right. SoCal Gas did not have an answer as to why they did not tweet what was going on to alert people in the area. Paul asked why don’t we have a monitoring system for the mercaptan and they said it sounded like a good idea. I am trying to keep everyone civil, wise and professional about this. I would like everyone to come out. The odor leak upset me and I think it’s important to stay active.

Mr. Shrader – This meeting is to talk about expanded operations at the drill site. SoCal gas has installed the new equipment and they have an extra fail safe for the odorant. We feel the operation will be safe moving forward. The Council approved a motion to look into fence sensors and the report will be coming back.

Ms. Tippit – Colleen holds the Board Seat that represents that area. You should keep in contact with her and if there is something you need from us, she can bring it to the rest of the Board.

Mr. Shrader – Maybe there should be a community commission to oversee the site?

Mr. Silver – The last lease was renewed in 1994. In nine years, Paul will not be here anymore, so we should figure out what we want to do.

H) Aviv Kleinman, Council District 5 Planning Deputy, Council District Update:

Mr. Kleinman – I was asked to speak about SB 827. This was introduced by Senator Scott Weiner. The bill would eliminate density restrictions within ½ mile of major transit stops and ¼ mile of frequent transit lines that run more than once every 15 minutes. Upzoning could occur on the order of 4-10 stories, including in single family areas. There are a lot of consequences that would result that researchers have shown. Paul called this devastating insanity. The bill was amended recently to allow development of 4-5 stories and some other changes were made. The LA City Council voted 13-0 to oppose the Senate Bill. There is a lot that can be done in terms of housing and housing proximate to transit, but you really need a balance. The City of LA has come up with Transit Neighborhood Plans (TNPs). So certain multifamily zones under the TNP are upzoned, but the difference is this is done through a local process, as opposed to someone up in Sacramento making a law like this.
Ms. Chang presented a basic overview of the TNP, including existing zoning and the proposed zoning as follows:

Ms. Chang - Not everything in the corridor is proposed for change. Approximately 250 acres out of 1900 acres are proposed to change zoning under the plan. A cluster around Culver City is changing, around Motor Ave and Venice Blvd, as well as an industrial cluster around Sepulveda station. Let’s focus on Pico Blvd right now. Initial drafts proposed C2-1VL be changed to RAS4. We got a lot of feedback and we heard the community did not want that. The staff recommendation as of October 2017, which is what
went to the City Planning Commission (CPC) was that there be no zone changes proposed except for the Westside Pavilion and the industrial areas by Sepulveda. The CPC meeting on November 9 recommended restoring the RAS4 zones on Pico. So along Pico parcels were restored in their recommendation. On Exposition, the CPC decided to restore the initial recommendation of R2 becoming R3. It’s going to the Planning and Land Use Committee (PLUM), then it will go to the full City Council. Because the CPC made recommendations, they will be transmitted to the PLUM Committee.

The following was presented by Ms. Chang on the CPC Recommendations for Pico and Expo:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department of City Planning Recommendation</th>
<th>City Planning Commission Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2-1VL</td>
<td>C2-1VL + TOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1 du per 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 du per 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAS4-1VL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAS4-1VL+TOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1 du per 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 du per 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ms. Chang – Another factor is TOC, Transit-Oriented Communities, which came out of Measure JJJ. The City has the TOC incentive guidelines for affordable housing that became effective in November. TOC allows for density bonuses that can be added on top of your existing zoning. On some thoroughfares, a mixed use/residential zone has been applied, which comes with its own incentive system. It was applied for example to Venice Blvd. Because these new zones have a base and bonus system, and meet JJJ
requirements, incentives already built in. With this you get predictability. The max bonus is the max and you cannot stack TOC on top of that. The Planning Department will not propose a new TNP to the City Council, but this zoning is something already in the plan and the City Council can consider what is in the plan. It has been recognized Pico may require a more unique zone. Mixed use cannot be all residential. 10-20% has to be for non-residential use. Neighborhood scale mixed use could allow residential or commercial on the ground floor. The TNP has designs that make the ground floor an active street use by the created standards. You can do things as a percentage of a total square footage of the project.

Transitional heights: Under the TNP, building heights adjacent to R1 zones, allow for 25 feet of height up to fifty feet away from the R1 zone, then 50 feet of height from fifty feet up to one-hundred feet from the R1 zone. It is to be determined after one-hundred feet from the R1. Transitional height is required in the plan under all the zoning. There is a different transitional heights allowed under TOC than this.

Parking: The TNP follows the City code for parking, but there are ways to swap out parking for car sharing and/or bicycle infrastructure. If you are doing small store fronts and residential on top, if you provide amenities, you may not have to provide parking for the small store-fronts.

Mr. Shabsis – We should request the Councilmember support and maintain an R2 zone on Expo. We can pen a letter and request Expo properties be considered R2.

Ms. Tippit – We will write a letter immediately asking to meet before this goes to PLUM. We were told it was not going to be an issue, then it became an issue. We appreciate all Paul did for the community before and now he should know how we feel given what we were against what was put back into the plan.

Mr. Kleinman – It was gracious of Planning to give this presentation. I encourage you to send us a letter.

Ms. Wishon makes a motion to have an adhoc subcommittee review the TNP and draft a letter to CD5 to pursue the establishment of a neighborhood mixed use zone on Pico roughly Sepulveda to Overland.

Ms. Tippit – There are a lot of issues and we have a long agenda of items to consider first.

Ms. Wishon – I withdraw my motion.


Ms. Antler leaves the meeting.

J) Outfront / JDecaux Street Furniture Contract:

Ms. Broide – The reason this came up is there have been comments and criticisms about the contract to date. What struck people as surprising was that there was a motion in Council that instructed the City Attorney to negotiate with the current company without public input. Perhaps there is a different process for community approval? With three years left in the contract, the company does not want to make more investments if they might not have a contract in three years. This could all be done behind closed doors and we need more public input.
Mr. Shabsis – As I recall, when this contract was first approved, it was decried as the worst contract ever entered into by City of LA because it gave no room for changes and gave the company exclusive rights to all advertising.

Ms. Broide – Should this be negotiated in private without the public right to have input?

Lance Oishi, LA Contract Administrator for Streetscape Development and Coordinated Street Furniture Programs – I have been the Program Manager for the City’s Street Furniture Program, which has been in place for 17 years. There were challenges to the contract. There have been various attempts by staff to help the contract be implemented easier. The three or four times we tried to make it work, it did not go anywhere. We are directed by Council to try to work out a contract amendment with the company to put the contract back on track. We are trying to figure it out right now. Once we have some type of proposal, there will be a chance for the public to give input. We might try to extend the contract for ten years. We are trying to expand the transit services portion of the contract like for shelters. We are negotiating in that direction, but we don’t have anything tangible yet.

The following motion was tabled: JC Duceaux Street Furniture Contract matter – WRAC strongly opposes the automatic renewal of the City’s Bus Shelter/Advertising 20-year contract with AC Decaux. WRAC further requests the City to withdraw the renegotiation of the sidewalk furniture contract with Decaux from the office of the City Attorney, and to allow an open RFP, awarded based on parameters laid out by community input regarding impact on a host of issues, including ridership needs.

Ms. Tippit – I appoint Barbara, Eric and Jane to be on a street furniture committee.

K) Presentation on PM2017-5032, a proposed 4 small lot subdivision at 1953 S. Manning:

Justin Roth, Project Designer, Vanos Architects – We met with the community. The ask was for planters to be added around the roof deck and stepping the roof deck back. We are not asking for anything additional. It’s by right. Trash is kept within the garage; it’s not kept on the street. The trash is serviced by a “stinger;” a private company that goes around and picks up the trash from the garage.

Mr. Shabsis – Single family areas are required to get trash picked up by the Bureau of Sanitation to my knowledge.

Mr. Roth – We are here to ask for support. Our firm has done work in the area. Our principal, Mr. Vanos gave input for the new ordinance on small lots.

Ms. Broide – We reviewed this development. It is by right. We asked about landscaping and street trees. I leafleted the neighbors. The block has been redeveloped for the most part. It’s a street of mostly condos and apartments. There are two single family homes, one of which will be soon demolished.

Ms. Broide disseminated the following report:

1953 South Manning, LA 90025
 Proposal for a 4 unit Small Lot Subdivision Project to replace a single family home on an 6754 square foot lot zoned R1.5-1VL-0 (low medium II residential in general plan).

The developer presented the proposed project at a meeting held April 3 at St. Timothy’s Church to which neighbors of the property were invited. WNC and WSSM constituents were present.
and had the opportunity to review the plans, ask questions and make recommendations prior to WNC consideration.

The project is a “by right” project to be constructed within the guidelines for small lot subdivision projects. No deviations from code are being requested. The units will each provide 2 garaged parking spaces. No guest parking is required. Total square footage: 7976 sq. feet (SF). Permitted height: 45 feet. Project height: 42’8”. There will be two front side-by-side units 1838 SF and 2362 SF (lot area of 1971 and 1904 SF) and two rear units (one in front of the other) of 1889 SF each with lot area of 1438 SF each. There will be three stories above the ground level garage.

The design of the project is contemporary with balconies, varied exterior colors and materials to be used which will help to create visual variety and reduce project’s impact on the street. No rooftop equipment is proposed so there will be no concerns about noise or aesthetics of equipment.

During the review, those present requested some minor alterations which were agreed to by the developer and his representatives:

1. Landscaped screening at the project’s rear boundary to provide a privacy buffer (for neighbors and the project’s residents). The developer will comply and is considering a planting of bamboo. Planters and plants to be incorporated into rear facing balconies to provide screening for from higher floors.

2. Formalization of maintenance of landscaping in window boxes and at property line by homeowners in a maintenance agreement. (As this is a small lot subdivision project with individual home ownership they cannot mandate this through an HOA because there isn’t an HOA.)

3. Balconies for two rear units to be made of a solid material instead of a transparent material (shown as glass in plans). Planters to be incorporated into balcony areas (as noted above).

4. A street tree to be installed per City approved tree species. Tree maintenance (watering) to be provided by property owners until established.

Mr. Roth distributed the following info:
Mr. Rosenfield moves to support the project at 1953 S. Manning as proposed.
Second: Ms. Wishon
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,9,10
14-0-0
Passed

L) Adee Drory, Celebrate Israel, adee@israelianamerican.org, 818-974-0178 – The 7th year for Celebrate Israel is happening May 6. It will again be at Cheviot Hills Recreation Center. I have had meetings with Kenneth Hill and a meeting about 1.5 months ago with Colleen. We discussed having more no parking signs near Club Drive. Lots of police will be present. There will be parking at FOX. I wrote an email to Charles Singer, superintendent of Parks and copied Bob King, saying we would like to know why more of the funds are not coming to Cheviot Hills. All the booth money goes to the park. If a booth costs $200, then the City charges me another $200, which goes directly to the park. Total income is around $24,000, so about $12,000 should be going directly to the park.

Ms. Kusnic leaves the meeting.

M) Westside Regional Alliance of Councils (WRAC) Motions:

Motion by Ms. Broide: Westwood Subdivision matter – Immediately stay any subdivision process for NCs, including the one currently underway for Westwood NC, until BONC has had an opportunity to
complete the review process, that is duly unfolding, for the subdivision ordinance (including City Council hearings that take into account community input). We further ask that BONC put in place a mechanism for professional mediation before any subdivision election is considered.

Second: Mr. Kotler
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,13,14,15,
Abstain 12,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,9,10,11
10-0-3
Passed

Motion by Ms. Broide: Beach Access Matter - WRAC Communities oppose the lifting of the beach curfew currently in place, restricting beach access from midnight to 5am, daily. This is in consideration of public health and safety concerns and in keeping with long standing practice, supported by LAPD and LA County Beaches and Harbors, who are tasked with maintaining the safety and integrity of the beach and the shoreline, respectively.

Second: Ms. Mason-Heller
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,9,10,11
13-0-0
Passed

Motion Ms. Wishon: Protected Tree Ordinance matter: - WRAC supports the initiative of Councilmembers Paul Koretz (CD5) and Mike Bonin (CD11) in their November 22, 2017 Motion to City Council to strengthen the City's Protected Tree Ordinance #177404 and encourages Neighborhood & Community Councils submit a supporting position letter or Community Impact Statement (CIS) to the Council File # 03-1459-S3.

Second: Ms. Christensen
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,9,10,11
13-0-0
Passed

Motion by Ms. Broide: Preferred Seating matter  Refers to City Council file 15-0524 - WRAC requests that seating be reserved at City departmental hearings, commission meetings, and Council meetings for members of Neighborhood and Community Councils (either with or without Community Impact Statements) to comment on item(s) before the body. This strong request is made in the interest of ensuring that local constituencies, represented by Los Angeles Neighborhood and Community Councils, are heard and that paid advocates do not usurp the role of legitimate community representatives in the volunteer Council system. Community and neighborhood Council leaders who take the time to hear from the stakeholders most impacted by any single issue, and retain roles that are entrusted with expressing the voice of the community to our City leaders, should have a reserved spot to attend hearings. We further request that City Council introduces a motion to amend the Administrative Code (Section 22.819) to reflect this policy.)

Second: Ms. Kennedy
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,9,10,11
13-0-0
Passed

Ms. Dox leaves the room
N) TNP update discussion continued:

Mr. Kotler made the following motion: The WNC will write a letter to Council District 5 supporting:
(1) On Pico Blvd, a neighborhood mixed use zone that (A) Does not exceed in height or density what is allowed in an RAS4 zone without TOC or other density bonuses, and (B) contains a ground floor area, which shall not be all residential nor contain mainly residential serving amenities for that building.
(2) On Exposition Blvd, retains the current R2 zoning without changes.

Second: Ms. Mason-Heller
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,12,13,14,15,17
Not in attendance: 6,9,10,11,16
12-0-0
Passed

Motion Mr. Newlander at 11:04 PM: I move to adjourn in the name of Thomas Jefferson, whose birthday is soon, remembering his words: "Honesty is the first chapter in the book of wisdom."
Second: Mr. Rosenfield
Unanimous

Submitted: By Aaron Rosenfield, Secretary