A) Call to Order: Terri Tippit, WNC Chair, 7:05 PM

Attendance:
Jae Wu (Seat 1)
Lisa Morocco (Seat 2)
Jeff Hronek (Seat 3)
Kim Christensen (Seat 4)
Terri Tippit (Seat 8)
Sean McMillan (Seat 9)
Allyson Saunders (Seat 13-alternate)
Shannon Burns (Seat 14)
Wendy Dox (Seat 16)
Aaron Rosenfield (Seat 17)

Seated After Start:
Brendan Kotler (Seat 5)
Barbara Broide (Seat 7)
Stacey Antler (Seat 10)
Mary Kusnic (Seat 11)
Colleen Mason-Heller (Seat 12)
Caryn Friedman (Seat 15-alternate)

Not In Attendance:
Elnie Vannatim (Seat 6)

B) Approval of the minutes:
Motion by Mr. Rosenfield to approve the June 14, 2018 minutes.
Second: Ms. Burns
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,8,14,16,17
Abstentions: 9,13
Not in attendance: 5,6,7,10,11,12,15
8-0-2
Passed

C) Selection for Seat 15 (School Representative) - Caryn Friedman
Ms Tippit – Caryn was the only person that asked to be considered for Seat 15 even though it was on the agenda for the last two months. Would you like to come up to the podium to say something?

Ms. Friedman – I am a parent at Overland Ave School and I have a second and fourth grader. I have been sitting as the alternate for Eric, and it’s been interesting. I am a little nervous, but I said I would give it a try and I help out at pick Pico every year.
Ms. Mason-Heller is seated.

Motion by Ms. Wu to appoint Ms. Friedman to WNC Board Seat 15.
Second: Ms Morocco
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,8,9,12,13,14,16,17
Not in attendance: 5,6,7,10,11,15
11-0-0
Passed

Ms. Friedman, Ms. Broide and Ms. Kusnic are seated.

D) LAPD Report
Senior Lead Officer (SLO) Ballesteros – I am back from being out. A quick update. I am still trying to catch up. The stats this year to date: Zero homicides this year. We had 19 robberies this year, compared to 17 last year. Assaults, we have had 13 this year and 14 last year. We have had 85 burglaries this year and we are below last year. Grand theft autos: 23 last year and 16 this year. Plain thefts: we had 106 last year and we are up to 131 this year. Lock it, hide it, keep it. Don’t leave things in plain sight. Total property crime: 328 last year and we are at 323 now. Our numbers are still low, but a lot of stuff is going on. We have had some robberies. Burglaries are happening south of Pico and north of Pico. The burglaries and theft of motor vehicles is what is hurting us. Set your alarms. Secure your windows. If they are going to go in your house, they can get in, but do your best to prevent them. We go through the reports everyday at the station and constantly assess what is happening in our area.

Mr. Kotler is seated.

SLO Baker – I am the SLO east of Overland and south of Pico. We had a rough beginning to the year and we are doing outstanding now. Since June 5, we have had only two break-ins and both incidents led to arrests. We are at 23 burglaries for Cheviot Hills. As it pertains to the dispensaries, we don’t have evidence it creates crimes, but it does impact the quality of life. Traffic goes up, the homeless are attracted, etc. I will forward the pot shop info to our narcotics team to make sure they are doing things the right way. There can be fires at these places and they will be growing. The likelihood is they will be able to operate before the compliance is done. But once the compliance is done, if they are not compliant a search warrant can be issued if there are problems.

Ms. Tippit – This will be next door to the 99 Cent Store. I think it is zoned light industrial, but it is on the end of a block with single family homes.

Ms. Mason-Heller – Medman is a mobile pot shop and they have lots of people standing on the street. How is that different than a pot shop that requires compliance? What are the rules for delivery?

SLO Baker – If you are going to transport marijuana, it has to belong to you and it has to be less five pounds.

E) Paul Koretz Council District 5 Report
Jack Sripoona, Council District 5 (CD5) Field Representative – (1) The pot shop right now does not have a distribution license. They are currently retail and a medical marijuana facility. (2) Norms is proposing 89 residential units, 10 of which would be extremely low income. It goes above the 45 ft limit up 67 feet because of Measure JJJ density bonuses. They will be at WSSM next month and the WNC the following month.

Ms. Broide – The meeting is August 7th and it will give us time to work with developer before they come before the WNC. Measure JJJ was passed last November and it created Transit Oriented Communities (TOCs), which give benefits to a developer where they can get extra height, reduce setbacks and reduce parking. What is confusing is there is also the Expo Corridor Transit Neighborhood Plan (ETNP), but that is not applicable here because it was approved after this project. Because this is a TOC project, there was less public input. So that is what has caught people by surprise. The TOC has tiers, so if the builder does level 4, there is no required parking.

Ms. Tippit – Extremely low income is 30% of the average median income for this area.

Mr. Kotler – For a three bedroom apartment, rent would be less than $500 per month.

Mr. Sripoona – (3) Draft rules and regulations for BIRD and other companies came out in June. There will be a cap of 2500 scooters per vendor. If a device is parked incorrectly, it has to be fixed in 2 hours or it can be impounded. They will have to come before the Neighborhood Councils (NCs) and Business Improvement Districts (BIDs). They will have an emergency contact for removals. It needs to go to the Public Works Committee and City Council for approval.

Officer Espin – I’ve talked to the motor cops. Issuing tickets for riding on sidewalks or without a helmet is discretionary. Yes they can get a ticket for riding on sidewalk and no helmet. It’s just not possible to stop everyone, so it’s discretionary. We are prioritizing where we are going and what we are doing as officers.

Mr. Sripoona – Most likely the units responsible for enforcement will be Street Use and Investigation, as well as DOT. My understanding is the companies have a high registration fee for operation.

Ms. Broide – Maybe some of that money should be specifically used for enforcement?

Ms. Christensen – Has the City Attorney weighed in on people leaving these on public sidewalks and private property?

Mr. Sripoona – I can talk with the City Attorney for DOT, but it does not seem like the rules address this. (4) Hagu Solomon-Cary is our new planner. Email: hagu.solomon-cary@lacity.org. Debbie Dyner Harris is the new District Director that is replacing John Darnell.

Ms. Antler is seated.

F) Supervisor Sheila Kuehl’s Office Report
Shannon Louis, Sheila Kuehl’s Office, slouis@bos.lacounty.gov – The budget for Measure H passed including $402 million for homelessness. I brought the quarterly updates. Implementation updates on the
different strategies are at: www.Homeless.lacounty.gov. The first of their kind juvenile camps will be transformed from a detention center to offer housing and career training. It will be a new approach to the juvenile justice system. The County approved portable toilets on Rose Ave in Venice. Hollywood and North Hollywood will have County lots for RVs to park overnight and in total there will be five lots.

The following was presented by Ms. Louis:
Yes to ADUs

TO RAISE THE PROFILE OF ACCESSORY dwelling units or "granny flats," the Homeless Initiative partnered with the Los Angeles County Arts Commission, Department of Regional Planning and the Los Angeles County Community Development Commission to hold the Yes to ADUs contest to find the best re-imagining of housing possibilities. More than 40 proposals were submitted from students and professional architects, highlighting the potential of ADUs as a housing type to alleviate the region’s housing pressure and combat homelessness—and the winners were announced in April. The designs will become a valuable online inventory of concepts with the potential to bolster the stock of affordable housing.

A Regional Movement

THE HOMELESS INITIATIVE HAS CONTINUED to diversify its network of partners representing homeless service providers, County departments, cities, business, philanthropy, labor, faith-based organizations and community coalitions in a highly collaborative Countywide movement.

In February, upwards of 500 County and City elected officials, stakeholders and homeless service providers attended the 2nd Annual Homeless Initiative Conference, centered on Partnership and Innovation, coming together with a shared vision of what we can accomplish together.

An innovative grant program is in process with 45 cities developing city-specific plans to address homelessness in their communities, illustrating an enthusiastic response from stakeholders across the region. Partnering cities will submit their final plans this summer.

And coinciding with the one-year anniversary of the passage of Measure H, a broad coalition of leaders and community groups launched Everyone In—United Way of Greater Los Angeles' dynamic new campaign designed to engage community members as an active part of the solution. Learn how you can get involved at http://everyonenila.org.

Mobile Shower Pilot

THE MOBILE SHOWER PROGRAM WAS launched this spring—at Whittier Narrows with Lava Mae Los Angeles and in East Pasadena with Shower of Hope—to serve people experiencing homelessness in nearby encampments with access to showers and hygiene supplies.

The community outreach program has included on-site showers, haircuts, food, clothing, pet supplies and other support services thanks to a variety of partnering agencies. In addition to supporting the health and well-being of our homeless neighbors, the mobile showers serve as new points of engagement to connect people to vital services including mental health, case management and housing navigators.

Developed in collaboration with Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department and other County departments, LAHSA and local service providers, this program is a tangible example of our coordinated approach to reaching our most vulnerable residents and helping them move from homelessness to housing. Since launch, an average of 100+ individuals per week have utilized the services at Whittier Narrows and report feeling fresh and rejuvenated, with an enhanced sense of self-esteem.

Jobs Combatting Homelessness

HOMELESS SERVICE PROVIDERS HAVE added more than 1,000 new jobs across the region to enhance the delivery system. We partnered on the second joint LA City/County homeless services job fair in April at LA Trade Tech, which attracted 750 attendees and 50 employers. And we continue to support this rapid expansion via www.JobsCombattingHomelessness.org—an online portal linking job seekers to nonprofits offering a “paycheck with purpose.”
**Vet Finds Security**

MR. DAVIS stayed at West Side Vets in Inglewood for seven years after declining health issues forced him and his family into homelessness. He faced three challenges: finding property owners who would accept Section 8 vouchers, owners who requested a credit score of 640 and his own sense of profound rejection. Case managers at West Side Vets helped Mr. Davis obtain a Section 8 voucher, visited him monthly to check on his well-being and helped secure housing for him and his family. This was largely due to the Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles Homeless Incentive Program providing the owner with a $2,467 holding fee.

“My experience working with Mr. Rodriguez and the housing advisor team was truly a blessing. I couldn’t have done it without these organizations. It’s an awesome feeling to have these individuals have so much love in what they do. They help veterans like me get through these difficult times to secure housing.”

**An Answer to a Prayer**

MS. JAMIESHA TILLMAN was a survivor of domestic violence, which caused her to leave her housing unit prematurely, along with her four children. They stayed with family and friends as long as they could, then resorted to staying in Mrs. Tillman’s car and in shelters. Finally, she connected with St. Joseph Center where she received a Section 8 Voucher. Even with the voucher, Ms. Tillman still faced challenges in finding a safe home for her family, a landlord willing to accept vouchers and in coming up with the security deposit.

The Homeless Incentive Program (HIP) and the Housing Advisory Unit Staff helped her locate units that matched her criteria and convinced the property owner to accept the Section 8 voucher by providing a holding fee. HIP also paid the security deposit, enabling Ms. Tillman to lease the unit in February and have a place to call home.

“I’m blessed to have been connected with this program that helped me secure a place for my children and me. Getting connected with HIP was the best thing that could have happened to me and my family.”

**The Transformational Power of Employment**

**EDDIE** is a 45-year-old who was homeless with long-term unemployment and previous substance use disorder when he enrolled into a job readiness program at Chrysalis. He worked in Chrysalis Works, a one-year transitional job program in street maintenance. Working in the Fashion District helped him overcome his discomfort in crowds and built his communication skills. Eddie found full-time employment as a prep cook with First to Serve, an organization that provides transitional housing, medical, and supportive services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness in South LA. He has been working with First to Serve for more than six months and was recently promoted to Supervisor.

Eddie tells others about Chrysalis and says he has been given "the power to make the choices on what job I want."

**Family Matters**

**JS** IS A SINGLE FATHER with a 5-year-old son. He battles depression and anxiety and has been involved with the criminal justice system. When a LAHSA outreach team engaged him, he was fighting to maintain custody of his son. With no other family, JS was concerned that his son would be placed in a foster home if he did not find housing soon. A LAHSA outreach team connected with JS at a Homeless Connect Event in Pomona and was able to secure a one-bedroom apartment for him through Upward Bound House, where he is able to stay for up to one year or until they obtain permanent housing. JS is actively seeking employment in construction, and his son is excelling in school.

“People have told me that they were going to help me, but most of them did not follow through...[LAHSA Outreach staff] Donald Holt and Lisa Lyon have been the most honest and diligent people helping my son and me. In this moment of serious crisis, we wereliterally days from sleeping on the streets with no help in sight. It brought tears to my eyes when we showed up at Upward Bound homes and my son turned to me and said, ‘Daddy now we can be safe.’”

**WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU!**

If Measure H has made a difference in your life, please share your story with us:

homelessinitiative@lacounty.gov
G) Vanessa Serrano, Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE) – Save the Date, Sept 22 will be the Congress of Neighborhoods. More info at: [http://www.nccongressla.com/](http://www.nccongressla.com/). There will be follow ups to our Citywide Neighborhood Council outreach. Now, we will go out into the City; it is on July 24 from 6-8 pm at Iman Center and they will be talking about different things like outreach for elections. Wanted to give you a heads up the folks who do disability compliance will be checking in with the NCs looking at meeting spaces, so expect to hear from Angela who will be making sure you are ADA complaint. That may happen; we don’t know when. I know you will be moving at some point too.
Ms. Serrano presented the following map:

Ms. Serrano – An update on the WNC boundaries. So, on the South Robertson (SORO) side, both sides of Anchor are set and that is fine; it matched perfectly.

Ms. Tippit – So, it is perfectly settled then?

Ms. Serrano – Yes.

Ms. Mason-Heller – And the WNC boundary will include Club Drive?

Ms. Serrano – Yes. On the Palms side, they were certified in 2004 with a map that matched into the WNC boundaries. As far as Palms was concerned, that area was part of their area.

Ms. Tippit – We gave them an area a long time ago and at that time nobody said their boundary included Palms Park. Someone in DONE should have checked the boundaries then to make sure the boundaries were not a problem. This a big problem because it is going into one of the WNC residential HOA areas and into Cheviot Hills.
Ms. Mason-Heller – There was a map that showed the Palms Neighborhood Council (PNC) was the area north of Palms and south of the 10; it has been excised apparently. We are sorry we gave them the area Terri is talking about because we gave an inch and now they are taking a mile. There is no contiguous boundary between Palms and the park. This has been done without due process. We went through a process to relinquish property to them. Palms Park was not on their map at that time. There are security issues for the WNC and the communities that surround the park that have an interest for the area not to be shared.

Ms. Tippit – If the map was how you say it was in 2004, how come George Garrigues came to our Board after that asking for the area we gave them?

Semee Park, DONE – We need to sort out the history. As far as the department is concerned, the map that was certified includes Palms Park and that is what the commission certified it under. It has been shared since certification.

Ms. Tippit – According to this, National belonged to them at that point. So why did we have to go through a process to give that area to Palms if this was the map that was certified prior to that? This could not have been the original map.

Ms. Park – If we can go through the documents and start detailing the history, we can sort this out. Based on the information we have, this is what the map is.

Ms. Broide – Maybe we can do an emergency motion to have BONC revisit this?

Ms. Tippit – It’s on the agenda; we do not need an emergency motion.

Ms. Broide – BONC and DONE need to review the history of the boundaries and consider our request to have total control of the park.

Ms. Park – We will work with Colleen and go through the history. Until additional findings are presented, this area is shared based on the fact it was certified previously.

Ms. Tippit – It could not have been the certified map because they came to us and asked us for the area this map says was theirs in the first place. Let’s get Len on the horn who was their first president and ask him. Why would someone come to us four or five years after certification if the area was already theirs? You got the wrong information.

Ms. Park – If you have other things, we can certainly look at them.

Ms. Tippit – They had to get their boundaries certified. I want to look at what year we had to have those things certified. George Garrigues came to us in March 2006 asking for the north side of National. This is in their minutes. In 2007, we elected to give them the area, but they got officially in 2010.

Ms. Park – We will sort it out. To be continued.

H) The Department of City Planning presentation on launching of an update program for four Westside
Community Plans.

Craig Webber – I am joined by Jonathan Hershey, Kinikia Gardner, Antonio Isaia, and Emily Gable. I want to commend this NC regarding the formation of the Expo Corridor Transit Neighborhood Plan (ETNP). I think we had your support for that plan. I think your support of that plan up into the final Council meeting struck the right balance. The City of LA is required to have a general plan on Land Use. The Land Use Element is chopped into 35 community plan areas and we have to keep them up-to-date, in what used to be a 20 year cycle. Some community plans have languished. The City Council has increased funding to work on the community plans. We are doing Venice, Westchester, Palms and West LA on the Westside. We were going to do this several years ago and then the economic downturn hit. These are guides for land use over a 20-year period. These plans also contain a map that details how land is going to be used in the community. The land use map sets the stage for land use in the community and zoning. The City’s goal is that all 35 plans will be brought up to date by 2024; only six are up to date currently. We are with you for the next 3 years.

Mr. Hershey – I am Jonathan Hershey and I am a senior planner. I will be working in this area. We will be reconfirming community values, understanding your priorities for the future and translating those into goals and policies. Unlike prior efforts, we will implement a new zoning scheme that the City of LA has been working on for a number of years. This scheme will detail the kinds of development you want in your community over the next several years. This new scheme is much more articulate on mixes of uses that we expect to see happening. There is going to be an outreach period, which Kinikia will go through the strategy. Over the three years we have committed to doing this, we will have a lot of engagement. We are going to try to figure out policies and goals. There will be three parts to what we are doing: (1) The Plan Text will be a policy document on what projects to allow in the area. (2) The Zoning and Community Plan Map will provide for site specific development regulation on how large buildings can be and setbacks. The map broadly lays out the types of uses you want to see in the community. (3) The Environmental Review is part of State Law, called CEQA. We are required to do an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) on what kinds of impacts there will be on the environment, which allow us to evaluate good/bad ideas and alternatives, or to see if the impacts are justifiable. We will be having a robust conversation with the community about these things. The first year sets goals. The second year we will look at the Environmental Review and the third year is where we see things finished and approved through the City Planning Commission.

Antonio Isaia – We are at the beginning, year 1, and we are in the listening phase. We are doing an NC road show. We are introducing ourselves and giving a brief background on the process. Next we will do a primer on the community update planning process. We want to hear from you, your ideas for improvements. The State mandates these get developed every 10 years. So this is your chance to speak up. We want to be able to listen to you and hear what the problems are.

*The following was presented by the Planning Department:*
INTRODUCTORY EVENT SCHEDULE

Help Shape the Future of Your Community

The Department of City Planning is embarking upon a three-year process to update four Community Plans for the Westside of Los Angeles: Palms-Mar Vista-Del Rey, Venice, West Los Angeles, and Westchester-Playa del Rey. Community Plans are the blueprints that guide growth in our neighborhoods by stating the community's vision for the future, establishing policies, and designating land uses.

We need your help and input to do this!

Planning 101: Community Planning

How does the Community Plan Update affect you and your community? Learn how Community Plans work, what the update process is about, and how to get involved. The same presentation and materials will be offered in English and Spanish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall 2018</th>
<th>An English session and a Spanish session will be held the same day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dates and locations to be announced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
<td>Online Webinar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date to be announced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kick-off Events: We’re Here to Listen! We want to hear your thoughts!

Learn about the Westside Community Plans Update, then tell us about the opportunities and constraints you see and your vision for your community. Please join us at any of the following events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Date</th>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sat, Sept 22</td>
<td>Palms-Mar Vista-Del Rey Community Plan Area</td>
<td>IMAN Cultural Center</td>
<td>3376 Motor Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurs, Sept 27</td>
<td>Westchester-Playa del Rey Community Plan Area</td>
<td>Location to be announced</td>
<td>6:30-8:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat, Sept 29</td>
<td>West Los Angeles Community Plan Area</td>
<td>Felicia Mahood Multipurpose Center</td>
<td>11338 Santa Monica Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues, Oct 2</td>
<td>Venice Community Plan Area</td>
<td>Westminster Elementary School</td>
<td>1010 Abbot Kinney Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please RSVP to planning.thewestside@lacity.org | Walk-ins are welcome

Upon request, Sign Language Interpreters, assistive listening devices, translation between English and other languages, and materials in alternative formats are available to the public for City-sponsored meetings and events. All requests for reasonable accommodations must be made at least three working days, or 72 hours in advance of the event or meeting date. To request accommodations or translation services, or for any questions, please call 213-978-1201 or email planning.thewestside@lacity.org.

7/12/2011
General Plan

The General Plan is the overarching guiding document for the City of Los Angeles. It lays out our values, sets forth a vision for the type of city we aspire to be, and provides guidance on how the city prepares for and responds to change.

The state of California requires each city to adopt a General Plan that covers a variety of topics, called Elements. Los Angeles' General Plan currently contains 11 Elements:
- Land Use
- Air Quality
- Conservation
- Health
- Housing
- Mobility
- Noise
- Framework
- Service System
- Open Space
- Safety

Community Plans

In Los Angeles, the General Plan Land Use Element is divided into 35 Community Plans, each with a policy document and a map of land use designations such as residential, commercial, industrial, and open space. Think of the plans as a blueprint to guide growth in our neighborhoods, by providing specific policies and strategies to achieve each community's vision and the broader objectives of the General Plan.

Community Plans are used to tell developers and homeowners generally what types of uses are allowed, and to ensure proposed projects meet the land use goals for that particular community.

Zoning

Zoning is the primary tool to implement Community Plan land use policies. Each parcel of land in the City is assigned a zoning designation. Zoning defines allowed uses, maximum heights, required yards, and other requirements specific to the property or lot.

Together, land use designations and zoning describe the vision for how land is used, and establish the rules for what may be built.

Community Plan Updates

The City has an ambitious schedule to update all 35 Community Plans in six years. In addition to updating plan policy documents and land use maps, this effort will apply updated zoning throughout each Community Plan area.

For more information and to check the status of your community plan update, visit planning.lacity.org.

H ave you heard?

OurLA2040

The City is undertaking a comprehensive update to its General Plan. This initiative, OurLA2040, will result in a new 20-year citywide plan, putting into place strong and visionary policies to help create a more prosperous, livable, and sustainable Los Angeles.

For more information, visit ourla2040.org.

Recode LA

Recode LA is the City’s comprehensive effort to prepare a new zoning code that will help implement the vision of the updated Community Plans. The goal is a web-based zoning code that will serve Angelenos in a smart, functional, and easy-to-use way.

For more information, visit recode.la.
Emily Gable – There are a number of ways we will engage with the community. There will be a survey. We are keeping an eye out for what kinds of events are happening in this area. If you want to suggest to us to attend events in the area, please do. We will be scheduling walking tours, to see things through your eyes. We will have activities at Farmers Markets for kids and we will engage with the schools and Universities. We want to engage with all kinds of folks and all ages of folks. We will have a number of public meetings to present; get feedback. This is an iterative process. The outreach plan is on the handout.

Kinikia Gardner – We have a strong community relation presence. We will launch a website in the next couple weeks: www.planningthewestsid.org. You can sign up on our website to be informed. We will have a Facebook page with general information such as updates. We will be working with External Affairs to post on the Facebook page about our efforts. We want to get the word out to a larger audience. We plan to be involved with the Westside Regional Alliance of Councils (WRAC) as well. We will be attending their meeting next Wednesday.

Ms. Tippit – I want to make clear this should be an opportunity for people who live in the area to speak and not for people out of the area who are encroaching on this neighborhood.

Ms. Broide – How do you take input when the puzzle does not fit right and you have some communities being put together and others that are not?

Mr. Weber – I am hearing boundaries could be an issue.
Ms. Mason-Heller – There are four NCs in our area and that is not really workable.

Mr. Weber – That is something to keep in mind going forward. We welcome stakeholder input. I do not care which NC you are in. The question on how the NC wants to weigh in and work with other NCs, I think is a question.

Mr. Broide – Is it out of the question to do our plan when Brentwood and Westwood does theirs?

Mr. Weber – That will be in about three years.

Ms. Tippit – There is a conflict with the Congress of Neighborhood and your community meeting on September 22.

Mr. Weber – We will be sure to correct that.

Dan Gleiberman, Edith St, dangleib@gmail.com – For the record, I want to point out you just did an ETNP and so everything that was just planned needs to be explained. But to me this is backwards because now we are stuck with the Expo Plan and this effort should have been done before the ETNP. Now we are working upstream.

Blake Thomas – Piggy backing on the last comment, how do you envision this interacting with the ETNP?

Mr. Weber – The ETNP is done. We are asking how we make the most of the transit infrastructure? How do we accommodate growth? We will look at the areas not in the ETNP. What should happen there, like on Santa Monica Blvd and with the R2 and R3 areas further east? This is our chance to address those areas.

Mr. Thomas – After five years, we have arrived at the ETNP. Does this process open up the ETNP?

Mr. Weber – It is not the intention that this planning opens up the ETNP. The goal of this program is to allow the great work for the ETNP to speak for itself and allow for us to look at the surrounding area not a part of the plan.

Mr. Thomas – Do you have objectives you want to see manifested in community plans?

Mr. Weber – The State has some guidelines, like reducing greenhouse gases by reducing tips. We want to comply with State Law and address growth needs, as we understand them. The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) does periodic growth projections and we have to take those numbers into account and look at how this community is growing by 2040. Again, the ETNP has done a lot of the heavy lifting on that. I do not know those numbers, but I do expect the ETNP has done a lot of that.

Mr. Thomas – Are there political imperatives as part of this?

Mr. Weber – The Council has not passed a resolution with guidelines, but I think there is a general understanding housing is an issue, which is a sort of political mandate. The TOC guidelines are a
Citywide approach around density. The TOC can be refined through the ETNP and can also be refined through community plans. Within measure JJJ, we have to demonstrate we are not diminishing the growth needs.

Annette Mercer – The Community Plan does not account for transportation does it; its just land use?

Mr. Weber – It is not explicitly looking at transportation. It still may prudent to re-look at the mobility element. The best answer is probably a little bit.

Ms. Mercer – A lot of us did this a number of years ago and it went nowhere. Do you have those files?

Mr. Weber – We have some files, but we are going to finish this time. The budget and vision never meshed before. The City has now asked what is needed to really get this done this time. We are here with a team of staff dedicated to this, which is a night and day business.

Ian Streymon – When does Paul Koretz’s Office come into this?

Mr. Weber – The Council is the final decision maker and their staff is involved and gives input along the way. We work collaboratively.

Mr. Streymon – Are McMansions under discussion again?

Mr. Weber – A lot of neighborhoods were rezoned in the last couple of years. It is not our intention to rehash the variations again, but if people want to rezone and there is consensus we can look at it.

Jeff Ellis – A recent study shows that the Expo Line had no impact on vehicle usage and traffic patterns. So your statement that it reduced traffic worried me.

Ms. Burns – Why can’t you divide the community plans now as Barbara suggested?

Mr. Weber – The process to amend the boundaries is to go through the process we are going through.

Ms. Broide – Just move us into the timeframe with Brentwood and Westwood.

Mr. Weber – We are now doing the southern four areas and your suggestion is you be a part of the northern three?

Ms. Broide – Yes.

Ms. Burns – I see that you have erroneously put Overland Ave as Overland Blvd and you have it sticking out as a major boulevard, but it was downgraded to a collector street.

Mr. Weber – I appreciate that. I apologize for the error.

Ms. Mason-Heller – It is imperative that the maps be correct. There are varied interests in different NCs. Here we speak for our residents. Put us with the communities that we have greater planning interests in common with. It does not need to be a dog fight, but like this, it most likely will be.
Ms. Tippit – I am going to ask if we can petition to take back National? That would solve the problem of boundaries for you.

Ms. Christensen – On the kickoff events, I am gathering the Sept 22nd meeting is intended to include the WNC, West LA and SORO?

Mr. Weber – If folks from a community plan area can only attend an event not in their direct area, we are not going to check IDs at the door.

Emily – So, yes the intent is to focus on the values of the people in the whole area of West LA for that event.

Mr. Christensen – I agree with prior comments and the 10 freeway does divide areas. I think that is a significant issue. We have more interaction with the North and East, than the South. There were issues not addressed in the ETNP, can we address those issues now?

Mr. Weber – We want to hear if there are concerns, I cannot say what will and won’t address at this point.

Ms. Broide – Is there adequate time to do the community plan in the time you are allowing? It is repeatedly frustrating when we want to know what is going on with current programs and the City does not have data available. It feels like the City has a feeling of aspiration-magical planning and if we use that as our foundation, we are in trouble. It worries me a lot that we are not getting the tools to make honest evaluations.

Mr. Weber – You gave a lot to listen to. We have taken a look at how we can do everything better. We have not done it in three years before. We are going to do the best we can and see where we can get. We are in the beginnings of trying to have a department metrics unit. Right now, there is a lot of theory. There is not a rod of data to look at all the time, but we do want to make informed decisions. I think we have advanced a lot since the 1990s, but there has been no perfect plan and no perfect outcomes either.

Ms. Mason-Heller – We live in a City with two great planning Universities. The data is out there. Having jobs near transit is the single most important indicator of making a mode shift. We need more jobs and not so much housing density. Nobody is reading the data.

Ms. Wu – I appreciate the fact the listening is the first step. I encourage stakeholders to please have your voice be heard. That is one of the reason I got involved. Those of us that have lived here for a long time understand what is in the local community and that is why the conversation is important.

Ms. Antler leaves the room.

Ms. Broide makes a motion that the WNC moves our community plan process to run contiguous with Westwood, Brentwood and Pacific Palisades.
Second: Mr. McMillan
Votes in Favor: 1,3,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Against: 2
Not in Attendance: 6,10
I) Treasurer’s Report

Ms. Burns presented the June 2018 MER is as follows:

**Monthly Expenditure Report**

**Reporting Month: June 2018**  
**Budget Fiscal Year: 2017-2018**  
**NC Name: Westside Neighborhood Council**

### Monthly Cash Reconciliation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Total Spent</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Commitments</th>
<th>Net Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9770.00</td>
<td>$9871.62</td>
<td>$68.38</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$36.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Monthly Cash Flow Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Category</th>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Total Spent this Month</th>
<th>Unspent Budget Balance</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
<th>Net Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$5000.00</td>
<td>$2471.62</td>
<td>$5678.69</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$-5678.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>$5600.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$5600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Improvement Project</td>
<td>$13000.00</td>
<td>$4200.00</td>
<td>$8200.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$-8200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Purpose Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1727.03</td>
<td>$-1727.03</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding Requests Under Review: $0.00  
Encumbrances: $0.00  
Previous Expenditures: $26730.30

### Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget Category</th>
<th>Sub-category</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CTS FRONTIER ONLINEPAY</td>
<td>05/04/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$58.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GUITAR CENTER #128</td>
<td>05/06/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$900.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BESTBUY.COM055447135910</td>
<td>05/09/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$21.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BESTBUY.COM955447135910</td>
<td>05/09/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$261.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>STAPLES 00113597</td>
<td>05/11/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$747.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>INT-IN THE MAILROOM</td>
<td>05/12/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MAIL SHIP MAILBOXES</td>
<td>05/13/2018</td>
<td>(Credit card transaction)</td>
<td>General Operations Expenditure</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$122.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Friends of Parks Rencho</td>
<td>04/24/2018</td>
<td>Motion to approve $1,200 for new</td>
<td>Neighborhood Purpose Grants</td>
<td>$1200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park Library</td>
<td></td>
<td>children$4X2...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motion by Ms. Burns to approve the Purchase Card’s Monthly Statement MER for June 2018.
Second: Ms. Morocco
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,10
15-0-0
Passed

J) Selection/Elections

Ms. Tippit – What is going on with the selection process?

Ms. Serrano – We are going on the old system until something changes.

Ms. Tippit – So we will not have a problem tonight and are just moving forward as things are currently until it is changed.

K) Safety Committee and related business

Ms. Tippit – I appoint Jeff Hronek to Chair the WNC Safety Committee.

Mr. Hronek – Cynthia has been passionate about this. We want to get a fair going. I am asking for the money to get it going. We are pushing for middle to late October and we are looking to do the Safety Fair maybe at Rancho Park.

Ms. Wu – End of September is better with the schools doing Halloween stuff in October.

Mr. Hronek – We want to get various agencies out to get information to the community on how to deal with a disaster. What do you need to be prepared in the event of a disaster? Everybody should be prepared so we have an idea of what to do.

Mr. Tippit – And we have to do this according to DONE.

Mr. Hronek – Rampart has a plan already, so we are going to use theirs as a template. The idea for the event though is to get the community out and to prepare for a disaster. They have an earthquake simulator which may be interesting to people.
Ms. Tippit – It will be Sunday November 4th. We can look at doing it at the park. What hours would you like?

Cynthia Saffir – We could do 10-4. LAFD and the Red Cross will do their presentations several times throughout the day. If there are going to be lots of presentations and lots of people, it cannot be short in duration.

Motion by Mr. Hronek for a WNC sponsored Safety and Preparedness Fair to be funded by a neighborhood purposes grant through FOWLA for funding up to $5,000.00.
Second: Ms. Morocco
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,10
15-0-0
Passed

Ms. Burns leaves the room.

L) Legislative-CIS Council File 18-0467, Discussion on findings for Councilmember Ryu Motion for reforms to the Neighborhood Council system

Ms. Tippit – We are writing a letter on Ryu’s motion.

Ms. Tippit makes a motion to write a letter and submit a CIS detailing the following:
It is the intention of this letter to formally request that the City Council and the HENC Committee immediately adopt the changes to DONE and BONC introduced by David Ryu and the HENC Committee (Council File 180467) with the following amendments:
a) Individual NCs be empowered to set their own date(s) of elections within a narrow window that includes both a weekend day and a weekday. This is necessary to accommodate our 50,000 stakeholders that work in Century City and our thousands of stakeholders whose children attend school in our NC who would be disenfranchised by a weekend election.
b) Complete Removal and moratorium of all current and future subdivisions until such time that a full and complete analysis be done to address funding equity, process, recourse, online and VBM voting, and stakeholder definition.
c) Create an accountability arm allowing NC’s that have evidence and issues with DONE management and conduct to have a path to meaningful take action to resolve a matter. This arm should have no influence from the Mayor’s Office, Council Office or BONC. Such options could include an independent NC review panel made up of NC Board Members past and present that report findings and recommendations to HENC Committee for referred action to Office of Personnel. Service term would be a maximum of 4 years with a one term sit out to re-apply.
d) The inclusion of the Department and BONC for all staff and committee members of same to be subject to review by the Ethics Commission and conflict of interest other than financial. Clarification guidelines related to when a BONC commissioner should recuse.
e) Permanent removal of Online and VBM to adhere to state laws.
f) Abolish current Exhaustive Efforts. Replace with independent NC Review Panel (can be performed by B) process to take no longer than 3 months. DONE to be barred from arbitrary edicts and review of minute and agendas.
Second: Ms. Mason-Heller
Votes in Favor: 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,13,15,16,17
Not in attendance: 6,10,14
14-0-0
Passed

M) Expo Transit Corridor Update
Ms. Broide – Does anyone not know what happened with the ETNP? Palms specifically said we were not
doing our part to achieve the goals of the plan. We presented a letter with facts disputing what they said.
We met the goals of the plan and we took a lot of height, higher than any heights in Palms. The plan is
meant to balance jobs and housing. We noted when this process started there was not a plan for Accessory
 Dwelling units, which essentially means R1 areas are now zoned as duplexes.

Mr. McMillan – LA Homeless Count numbers were down for the homeless Citywide to 53,000. Vets
went down. The bad news is people experiencing homelessness for the first time is up 13%. The Mayor is
looking how to house people living in their cars. Each Council District has to identify two locations for
people. In CD5, one area is down by the Beverly Center and the other is by BevMo in our NC area. It’s
not a large lot. The City through eminent domain might just start doing it. Bridge Housing is an idea from
the Mayor’s Office. What I am suggesting is we identify locations where people can transition out of their
situation. It has to be a safe and sanitary location. The Alcott Center is aggressively trying to get a mental
health designation to help people and I am assisting what they are doing.

N) Street Furniture contract
Ms. Broide – A private agreement may have been reached between the City Attorney and Decaux without
any public input. It is sitting in the Mayor’s Office. We had proposed a motion that opened the process
up. The contract was entered into in 2000 to provide public toilets. In the contract there was a year 6
review. That took place in 2017. The contract proposed it would raise $100 million, but only has raised
$54 million. It’s not a public competitive process. How do we draw attention to it and how to do we voice
concern? It would be nice to have a letter and CIS.

Ms. Tippit – I think it’s the City you go after, not the contractor.

The issue was tabled.

N) Announcements
Ms. Broide – Lobbyists from the sign industry want to be exempt from CEQA. I am asking people to
write letters. Ms. Broide presented the following:
SIGN ORDINANCE: Council File 11-1705
HELP SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT!  Spread the word......

Write to Council President Wesson and PLUM Committee to tell them that recent industry lobbying for a weak sign ordinance on behalf of billboard interests is wrong and unacceptable.

1) Tell them that “an overwhelming majority of local residents” do NOT accept both traditional and (especially) digital billboards as a part of the landscape.

2) Tell them that you support Version B+ of the Sign Ordinance* as passed by the City Planning Commission in Oct. 22, 2015.

3) Tell them you oppose the continued efforts at PLUM to undermine and weaken the CPC recommendations with measures that compromise the public interest, public safety and the public’s health.

Note the last letter in Council File documents of July 10 from BillboardConnection (http://elcrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2011/11-1705_pc_7-10-18.pdf) that claims:

“This issue is one where the benefit of the greater good must be given more weight than a small, vocal minority. An overwhelming majority of local residents accept both traditional and digital billboards as a part of the landscape.”

An overwhelming majority? Based on what evidence?
Help show the City that this is not true.
Do we accept billboard blight and visual pollution? Do we accept night sky pollution? Do we accept traffic delays, injuries and fatalities resulting from distracted drivers watching digital billboards? Do we accept the messages promoting junk food, alcohol and violent images that cannot be stopped?
NO, WE DO NOT!

WRITE TO:  Council President Herb Wesson: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org
PLUM Chair Jose Huizar: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org
PLUM Committee c/o: Sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

Copy your own Councilmember (and the full Council if you wish)
In the subject line note: Council File 11-1705 / Sign Ordinance

*Version B+ of the Sign Ordinance recommended by CPC on 10/22/15:
  a) Disapproves any AMNESTY for existing billboards that lack permits or have been altered in violation of their permits.
  b) Disapproves the “grandfathering” of any sign districts that weren’t approved or applied for in April, 2009, when the CPC approved the initial version of the new sign ordinance.
  c) Restricts any new off-site signs, including digital billboards, to sign districts in 22 areas zoned for high-intensity commercial use.
  d) Requires existing billboards to be taken down before any new off-site signs can go up in sign districts. The takedown ratio of existing signs to new signs would be 5 to 1 for conventional and 10 to 1 for digital.
  e) Sets administrative civil penalties for sign violators that will act as a real deterrent to illegal billboards and other signage.
  f) Prohibits off-site signage in city parks and recreation facilities.

We strongly oppose placement of any new digital/electronic or static billboards outside of Sign Districts.

For more info, visit: banbillboardblight.org The Coalition to Ban Billboard Blight

Motion to Adjourn by Ms. Morocco, 10:05 PM:
Second: Mr. Rosenfield
Unanimous
Submitted: By Aaron Rosenfield, Secretary

Voting note regarding how abstentions are counted: Section 3 of the WNCs By-Laws state: “Except as otherwise specifically provided, an affirmative vote of a majority of those voting, not including abstentions, shall be required to pass motions.”